

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		3252
09/658,763	09/08/2000	Herbert Parks Hartgrove	PGI6044P0200US	3232
	590 07/16/2002	1 TD	EXAM	INER
ROCKEY, MILNAMOW & KATZ, LTD. Two Prudential Plaza			GUARRIELLO, JOHN J	
Suite 4700 180 North Stets	son Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 6	0601		1771	6

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A_
Office Action Summary	Application No.  09/658963 Hartgrove  Examiner Group Art Unit  JOHN GRAVICHO 1971
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Peri d for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS  ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  e, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
	or formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Delaim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
	to the second
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
$\Box$ Claim(s) $12-2$	is/are rejected.
$\square$ Claim(s) $2-2$	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ed to by the Examiner.  ider 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  the priority documents have been
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ed to by the Examiner.  ider 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  the priority documents have been  ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)  Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. Treceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Inter *Certified copies not received:	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  g Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ded to by the Examiner.  inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  the priority documents have been  erry
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)  Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. Treceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Inter *Certified copies not received:	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  g Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ded to by the Examiner.  inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  the priority documents have been  erry
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)  Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing In the proposed drawing correction, filed on See the attached Notice of Draf	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ed to by the Examiner.  ider 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  the priority documents have been  ern  ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Pap r No.\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/658763 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

- 15. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-11, drawn to Method of making, classified in class 264, subclass 122.
  - II. Claims 12-22, drawn to durable fabric, classified in class 442, subclass 324...
- 16. The Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process by pre dyeing the fibers before the web is made.

Application/Control Number: 09/658763 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

18. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 19. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 20. During a telephone conversation with Stephen D. Geimer on 7/2/2002 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 12-22. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-11 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

21. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/658763

Art Unit: 1771

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

22. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 13, it is not clear what the correct antecedent basis is, since the claim 12 is an article claim to a durable nonwoven fabric, not a claim directed to a method of making, the Examiner will take the position that this is an article claim until corrected.

In claim 14, it is not clear what the correct antecedent basis for the same reason given in claim 13.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

23. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/658763

Art Unit: 1771

24. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cruise et al. 5,874,159 in view of Drelich et al. 5,098,764 and Namiki et al. 3,966,406.

Cruise describes a method of making nonwoven fabrics which are durable, (see abstract). Cruise describes the fabric is made of two layers (like a precursor web), (see abstract; column 2, lines 11-22). Cruise describes the base fabrics can be similar or different and can be hydroentangled, (column 3, lines 12-19; column 4, lines 65-68). Cruise describes materials of polyester and polyamide (like nylon), (column 5, lines 40-50). Cruise differs from the claimed invention because it is silent about the image transfer device to form an imaged non-woven fabric and the dyeing.

Drelich describes an image transfer device to form an imaged nonwoven fabric with enhanced physical properties and fabric with pleasing appearance, (column 2, lines 6-11; see Figure 3). Drelich describes the production of fabrics with superior properties with entangled fibers, (column 2, lines 38-68).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/658763

Art Unit: 1771

Namiki describes dyeing of fibrous articles, (see abstract). Namiki describes dyeing of polyester type fibers which can be in the form of knitted, woven or non-woven fabric, (column 3, lines 5-13). Namiki describes jet dyeing of fabrics, (column 3, lines 14-32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the fabric of Cruise with the image transfer device of Drelich and to modify the fabric of Cruise with the jet dyeing of Namiki motivated with the expectation that improved fabric properties of image and pattern appearance as well as dye irregularity improvement, (column 2, lines 15-17 of '406), would result, (column 2, lines 7-8, 67-68).

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Guarriello whose telephone number is 703-308-3209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 am to 4 pm.

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

John J. Guarriello:gj

Patent Examiner

July 2, 2002